

*Polynomial Interpolation of the  $k$ -th root of the  
Discrete Logarithm*

*Gerasimos C. Meletiou*

*A.T.E.I. of Epirus, Arta, GREECE*

## *Definition of the Discrete Logarithm Function*

*Let*

*G be a cyclic group of order t,  $|\langle g \rangle| = |G| = t$ ,*

*$Y \in G$  be an element of the group G.*

*The Discrete Logarithm of Y to the base g is the smallest positive integer  $x : 0 \leq x < t$  such that  $Y = g^x$ .*

# *Definition of the k-th root of the Discrete Logarithm*

*Let*

*G be a cyclic group of order t,  $|\langle g \rangle| = |G| = t$ ,*

*$Y \in G$  be an element of the group G.*

*A k-th root of the Discrete Logarithm of Y to the base g is an integer  $x : 0 \leq x < t$  satisfying  $Y = g^{x^k}$  if such a x exists.*

## *Remarks:*

*1) Existence and uniqueness of the k-th root of the discrete logarithm are not guaranteed.*

*In the case  $\left| \left\{ x : g^{x^k} = Y \right\} \right| \geq 2$  branches of the k-th root of the discrete logarithm are defined.*

*2) Group  $G$  and parameters  $g$  and  $t$  can be chosen in such a way that computing discrete logarithms to the base  $g$  is infeasible. Also  $t$  can be chosen such that obtaining k-th roots modulo  $t$  is hard.*

*The  $k$ -th root of the discrete logarithm is used as one-way function in cryptography:*

- + Group signature schemes*
- + Publicly verifiable secret sharing schemes*
- + Electronic cash*
- + Offline electronic cash systems*
- + Anonymity control in multi-bank e-cash system*
- + History-based signatures*

## *Important references related to the definition of the $k$ -th root of the discrete logarithm*

*Caménisch J L, Group Signature Schemes and Payment Systems Based on the Discrete Logarithm Problem, Doctoral Dissertation, ZÜRICH, 1998.*

*Caménisch, J., Stadler, M.: Efficient group signature schemes for large groups. In: Kaliski Jr., B.S. (ed.) CRYPTO 1997. LNCS, vol. 1294, pp. 410–424. Springer, Heidelberg (1997).*

*Lysyanskaya, A., Ramzan, Z.: Group blind digital signatures: A scalable solution to electronic cash. In: Hirschfeld, R. (ed.) FC 1998. LNCS, vol. 1465, pp. 184–197. Springer, Heidelberg (1998).*

## *References related to cryptographic applications*

*Ateniese, G., Tsudik, G.: Some open issues and new directions in group signatures. In: Franklin, M. (ed.) FCT 1999. LNCS, vol. 1684, pp. 196-211. Springer, Heidelberg (1999).*

*Bresson E. and J. Stern, Efficient Revocation in Group Signatures, PKC 2001, LNCS 1992, pp. 190-206, 2001, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2001.*

*Bussard L., R. Molva, and Y. Roudier, History-Based Signature or How to Trust Anonymous Documents, iTrust 2004, LNCS 2995, pp. 78-92, 2004, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2004.*

*Stadler, M.: Publicly verifiable secret sharing. In: Maurer, U.M. (ed.) EUROCRYPT 1996. LNCS, vol. 1070, pp. 190-199. Springer, Heidelberg (1996).*

*Jeong I. R. and D. H. Lee : Anonymity Control in Multi-bank E-Cash System, INDOCRYPT 2000, LNCS 1977, pp. 104-116, 2000, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2000.*

*Pavlovski C. and Colin Boyd, Attacks Based on Small Factors in Various Group Structures, ACISP 2001, LNCS 2119, pp. 36-50, 2001, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2001.*

*Jacques Traore, Group Signatures and Their Relevance to Privacy-Protecting Offline Electronic Cash Systems, ACISP'99, LNCS 1587, pp. 228-243, 1999, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1999.*

## *Remarks concerning the parameters in most of the applications:*

- +  $t$  is an RSA modulus that, is  $t = p \cdot q$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  are big primes and the factorization of  $t$  is unknown.*
- + In some applications  $t = p^2 \cdot q$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  are primes.*
- + Concerning  $k$  it may be equal to two (square root), also  $k$  may be equal to  $e$ , the encryption exponent of the RSA (root of odd order).*



# *Polynomial representation of Cryptographic Functions*

*Functions from a finite field to itself can always be represented by polynomials (Lagrangian interpolation).*

*Interpolation and “approximation” techniques have been applied to address cryptographic problems.*

*Polynomial representation of Cryptographic Functions – The investigation of computing the function using Lagrangian Interpolation.*

*I. Deduction of exact polynomial form for the cryptographic function over the finite field.*

*II. Interpolation of the cryptographic function over a set of given data, proper subset of the finite field.*

## *(I) Explicit formula for the Discrete Logarithm.*

*Let  $p$  be a prime,  $g \in Z_p^*$ ,  $g$  is a generator of the multiplicative group of the field  $Z_p$ , that is  $\langle g \rangle = Z_p^*$ .*

*Then the polynomial formula:*

$$-1 + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} (g^{-i} - 1)^{-1} \cdot x^i$$

*represents the discrete logarithm of  $x$  to the base  $g$ , for all  $x \in Z_p^*$ .*

*Interpolation polynomial has largest possible degree  $p - 2$ .*

*Surprisingly enough the functions for the coefficients are very simple.*

# *(I) Explicit formula for the Discrete Logarithm - References*

*Meletiou, G.C.: Explicit form for the discrete logarithm over the field  $GF(p, k)$ . Arch. Math. (Brno) 29, 25-28 (1993).*

*Meletiou, G.C., Mullen, G.L.: A note on discrete logarithms in finite fields. Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. 3(1), 75-78 (1992).*

*Mullen, G.L., White, D.: A polynomial representation for logarithms in  $GF(q)$ . Acta Arith. 47(3), 255-261 (1986).*

*Niederreiter, H.: A short proof for explicit formulas for discrete logarithms in finite fields. Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. 1(1), 55-57 (1990).*

# *(I) Explicit formulas for other cryptographic functions*

*Aly, H., Winterhof, A.: Polynomial representations of the Lucas logarithm. Finite Fields Appl. 12(3), 413-424 (2006).*

*Winterhof, A.: A note on the interpolation of the Diffie-Hellman mapping. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 64, 475-477 (2001).*

## *(II) Interpolation of the Discrete Logarithm over a set of given data - Lower bounds.*

*Let  $p$  be a prime,  $g \in Z_p^*$ . Consider the subset:  $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ , of order  $|S| = p-1-s$ . Let  $F(X) \in Z_p[X]$  be a polynomial satisfying  $F(g^x) = x$  for all  $x \in S$ .*

*Then we have:*

$$\deg(F) \geq p-2-2s \text{ (lower bound).}$$

*Coppersmith, D., Shparlinski, I.: On polynomial approximation of the discrete logarithm and the Diffie-Hellman mapping. J. Cryptology 13(3), 339–360, (2000).*

*Niederreiter, H., Winterhof, A.: Incomplete character sums and polynomial interpolation of the discrete logarithm. Finite Fields Appl. 8(2), 184–192 (2002).*

*Shparlinski, I.E.: Cryptographic Applications of Analytic Number Theory. Complexity Lower Bounds and Pseudorandomness. Progress in Computer Science and Applied Logic, vol. 22. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel (2003).*

*Winterhof, A.: Polynomial interpolation of the discrete logarithm. Des. Codes Cryptogr. 25, 63–72 (2002).*

## *(II) Interpolation of other cryptographic functions over a set of given data - Lower bounds.*

*Adelmann, C., Winterhof, A.: Interpolation of functions related to the integer factoring problem. In: WCC 2005. LNCS, vol. 3969, pp. 144-154. Springer, Heidelberg (2006).*

*Aly, H., Winterhof, A.: Polynomial representations of the Lucas logarithm. Finite Fields Appl. 12(3), 413-424 (2006).*

*Coppersmith, D., Shparlinski, I.: On polynomial approximation of the discrete logarithm and the Diffie-Hellman mapping. J. Cryptology 13(3), 339-360, (2000).*

*El Mahassni, E., Shparlinski, I.E.: Polynomial representations of the Diffie-Hellman mapping. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 63, 467-473 (2001).*

*Meidl, W., Winterhof, A.: A polynomial representation of the Diffie-Hellman mapping. Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. 13, 313-318 (2002).*

*Shparlinski, I.E.: Cryptographic Applications of Analytic Number Theory. Complexity Lower Bounds and Pseudorandomness. Progress in Computer Science and Applied Logic, vol. 22. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel (2003).*

*Winterhof, A.: A note on the interpolation of the Diffie-Hellman mapping. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 64, 475-477 (2001).*

*Meletiou, G.C., Winterhof, A.: Interpolation of the Double Discrete Logarithm. In WAIFI 2008, LNCS vol. 5130, pp. 1-10, Springer, Heidelberg, 2008.*



*Definition of the Double Discrete Logarithm Function (Similar to the definition of the root of the Discrete Logarithm).*

*Let  $G$  be a cyclic group of order  $t$ ,  $|\langle g \rangle| = |G| = t$ ,*

*Let  $h \in \mathbb{Z}_t^*$  be an element of order  $|\langle h \rangle| = m$ .*

*The Double Discrete Logarithm of an element  $z = g^{h^x} \in G$  to the bases  $g$  and  $h$  is the unique  $x : 0 \leq x < m$ .*

## *Main results - Roots of odd order*

***Theorem 1.** Let  $p$  be a prime,  $g \in Z_p^*$ ,  $|\langle g \rangle| = t$  and let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer s.t.  $\gcd(k, \phi(t)) = 1$ . Let  $S \subseteq Z_t^*$  be a subset of order  $|S| = \phi(t) - s$ . We assume the existence of a polynomial  $F(X) \in Z_p[X]$  s.t.  $F(g^{x^k}) = x$  for all  $x \in S$ .*

*Then we have  $\deg(F) \geq \frac{\phi(t) - 2s}{2}$  (lower bound).*

## *Remarks*

*The exponent  $k$  is odd and relatively prime to  $\phi(t)$  and, of course, the  $k$ -th root function becomes a bijection.*

*The main motivation stems from RSA. In this case  $k$  is the encryption exponent  $e$ . In some applications message  $m$  is encrypted as  $c \equiv m^e \pmod{N}$  and  $g^{m^e}$  becomes public. Recovering  $m$  from  $g^{m^e}$ , or verifying properties of  $m$  is the problem (Proofs of Knowledge of Roots of Discrete Logarithms-see Camenisch).*

# *Main results - Square Roots of Discrete*

## *Logarithms.*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $g \in Z_p^*$ ,  $|\langle g \rangle| = t$  and  $t$  be also a prime. Consider the subset:  $S \subseteq Z_t^*$ , of order  $|S| = \frac{t-1}{2} - s \leq \frac{t-1}{2}$ . Let  $F(X) \in Z_p[X]$  be a polynomial satisfying  $F(g^{x^2}) = x$  for all  $x \in S$ .*

*Then we have:*

$$\deg(F) \geq \frac{t-1-4s}{32} \text{ (lower bound).}$$

## *Main results - Square Roots of Discrete Logarithms - Remarks.*

- 1) By  $QR_t$  we denote the set of all quadratic residues modulo  $t$ .  
 $QR_t$  is a subgroup of  $Z_t^*$ .*
- 2) The assumption  $t \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  implies that for all  $x \in Z_t^*$ ,  $x$  is a quadratic residue iff  $-x$  is a quadratic non residue. Also that each element of  $QR_t$  has exactly two square roots: one in  $QR_t$  and one in  $QNR_t$ .*
- 3) Under this assumption the square root function becomes a bijection from  $QR_t$  to  $QR_t$ .*

## *Main results - Square Roots of Discrete Logarithms.*

*Theorem 3.* Let  $p$  be a prime,  $g \in Z_p^*$ ,  $|\langle g \rangle| = t$  and  $t \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  be also a prime. Consider the subset:  $S \subseteq QR_t \subseteq Z_t^*$ ,  $|S| = \frac{t-1}{2} - s \leq \frac{t-1}{2}$ , the elements of  $S$  are quadratic residues. Let  $F(X) \in Z_p[X]$  be a polynomial satisfying  $F(g^{x^2}) = x$  for all  $x \in S$ .

*Then we have:*

$$\deg(F) \geq \max \left\{ \frac{t-1-4s}{32}, \frac{t-1-4s}{2v^3} \right\} \text{ (lower bound),}$$

*where  $v$  is the smallest quadratic residue mod  $t$ ,  $v \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ .*

## *Main results –Remark*

*The case of the RSA modulus is addressed. We assume that*

*1)  $t = N = p \cdot q$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  are big primes.*

*2)  $p \equiv q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .*

*The second assumption guarantees that each quadratic residue in  $Z_N^*$  has exactly four square roots and that exactly one of them is a quadratic residue  $\pmod{N}$ . The square root function becomes a bijection. We generalize the previous theorem.*

## *Main results - Square Roots of Discrete Logarithms.*

*Theorem 4. Let  $r$  be a prime,  $g \in \mathbb{Z}_r^*$ ,  $|\langle g \rangle| = N$ ,  $N = p \cdot q$  an RSA modulus. In addition we assume that  $p \equiv q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Consider the subset:*

*$S \subseteq QR_N \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ ,  $|S| = \frac{\phi(N)}{4} - s \leq \frac{\phi(N)}{4}$ , the elements of  $S$  are quadratic residues. Let  $F(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_r[X]$  be a polynomial satisfying  $F(g^{x^2}) = x$  for all  $x \in S$ .*

*Then we have:*

$$\deg(F) \geq \frac{\phi(N) - 8s}{4v^3} \text{ (lower bound),}$$

*where  $v$  is the smallest quadratic residue mod  $N$ ,  $v \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ .*



*Thank you very much  
for your kind attention*